#### SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

# Case 2:13-cv-00333-PBT Document 1 Filed 01/21/13 Page 1 of 9 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS			
REID FLAMER				NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff  (c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, Telephone Number and Email Address)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant			
Craig Thor Kimmel, Es Kimmel & Silverman, F 30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002 (215) 540-8888 II. BASIS OF JURISD	P.C.	n One Box Only)		Attorneys (If Known)  TIZENSHIP OF P	O CONDEMNATION CASES, UNVOLVED.  RINCIPAL PARTIES	SE THE LOCATION OF THE  O(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)	
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N	Not a Party)	Citiz	en of This State	TF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated or P of Business In Th	rincipal Place	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity  (Indicate Citizenship	o of Parties in Item III)	Citiz		2		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT							
CONTRACT	TOR			ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise  REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	□ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury  CIVIL RIGHTS □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 444 Welfare □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	PERSONAL INJUR  362 Personal Injury Med. Malpractic  365 Personal Injury Product Liability  Froduct Liability  Bersonal Propert  368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPER  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Personal  Property Damage  Product Liability  PRISONER PETITIO  510 Motions to Vacar Sentence  Habeas Corpus:  530 General  535 Death Penalty  540 Mandamus & Oti  550 Civil Rights  555 Prison Condition		0 Agriculture 00 Other Food & Drug 55 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 60 Liquor Laws 10 R.R. & Truck 10 Airline Regs. 10 Occupational Safety/Health 10 Other  LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 10 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 10 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 10 Railway Labor Act 10 Other Labor Litigation 11 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act  IMMIGRATION 13 Habeas Corpus Alien Detainee 15 Other Immigration Actions	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 840 Trademark  SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))  FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and	
<b>№</b> 1 Original <b>□</b> 2 Re	ON  Cite the U.S. Civil Stat 15 U.S.C SECT Brief description of car Fair Debt Collect UNDER F.R.C.P.  (See instructions):	Appellate Court tute under which you a TON 1692 use: ction Practices A IS A CLASS ACTION	Reoper filing (	pened another	al statutes unless diversity):	Magnerate Judgment	
Explanation:							

01/21/2013 /s/ CRAIG THOR KIMMEL

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

## CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

REID FLAMER		:	CVVIII A CITION	
	v.	: :	CIVIL ACTION	
NCO FINANCIA	AL SYSTEMS, INC.	:	NO.	
		:		
plaintiff shall confiling the complaintiff of this form designation, that oplaintiff and all of	nplete a Case Management nt and serve a copy on all and serve a copy on all and a de lefendant shall, with its fir	t Track Desidefendants. fendant doest appearance ment Track l	lay Reduction Plan of this court, counsignation Form in all civil cases at the to (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reserved not agree with the plaintiff regarding the, submit to the clerk of court and serve Designation Form specifying the track to	ime of everse g said on the
SELECT ONE O	OF THE FOLLOWING	CASE MAN	NAGEMENT TRACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpu	us – Cases brought under 2	8 U.S.C. § 2	2241 through § 2255.	( )
1 /	y – Cases requesting review revices denying plaintiff S		sion of the Secretary of Health ity Benefits.	( )
(c) Arbitration –	Cases required to be desig	nated for ar	bitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	(X)
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.				( )
commonly ref the court. (Se	erred to as complex and the reverse side of this form	nat need spe	acks (a) through (d) that are cial or intense management by ed explanation of special	
management of	cases.)			( )
(f) Standard Man	agement – Cases that do r	ot fall into	any one of the other tracks.	( )
01/21/2013	Craig Thor K	immel	Plaintiff Reid Flamer	

DateAttorney-at-lawAttorney for215-540-8888877-788-2864kimmel@creditlaw.comTelephoneFAX NumberE-Mail Address

## Case 2:13-cv-00333NPPED STOCOLOSEDISTRECOCOLOSCO1/201/13 Page 3 of 9

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

assignment to appropriate calendar.	
Address of Plaintiff: 2925 SW 92nd St., Miami, FL 33165	5
Address of Defendant: 507 Prudential Rd., Horsham, PA	19044
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:  (Use Reverse Side For A	dditional Space)
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation a	nd any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))	
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No⊠
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:  Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year.	
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior s	Yes□ No⊠ uit pending or within one year previously terminated
action in this court?	
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier r	Yes□ No⊠
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No⊠
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil right	
	Yes□ No፟፟፟፟
CIVIL: (Place / in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1.   Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury
5. □ Patent	5.   Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. □ Civil Rights	7. □ Products Liability
8. □ Habeas Corpus	8. □ Products Liability — Asbestos
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. X All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) 15 U.S.C. § 1692	
ARBITRATION CERT	
I, Craig Thor Kimmel, counsel of record do hereby certification.	
□ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and	belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;  Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
- Tenor out and more and define good to bought	F7100
DATE: 01/21/2013 /s/ Craig Thor Kimme.  Attorney-at-Law	
Attorney-at-Law <b>NOTE:</b> A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the	Attorney I.D.# re has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
Leastify that to my browledge the middle and middle and the middle	within any year marianshy to write to Justice 1 and 1
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or except as noted above.	within one year previously terminated action in this court
DATE: 01 /01 /0010 /-/ Costs Files 77' 3	57100
DATE: 01/21/2013 /s/ Craig Thor Kimmel Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

### 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA 3 REID FLAMER, 4 Plaintiff 5 Case No.: v. 6 NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., 7 **COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 8 (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices) Defendant 9 10 **COMPLAINT** 11 REID FLAMER ("Plaintiff"), by and through his attorneys, KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, 12 P.C., alleges the following against NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC. ("Defendant"): 13 **INTRODUCTION** 14 1. Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA"). 16 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 17 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states 18 that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court 19 20 without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original 21 jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States. 22 3. Defendant has its corporate headquarters in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania 23 and as such, personal jurisdiction is established. 24 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1). 25

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Miami, Florida 33165.
- 6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3).
- 7. Defendant is a national debt collection company with its corporate headquarters located at 507 Prudential Road, Horsham, Pennsylvania 19044.
- 8. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6), and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a debt.
- 9. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 10. At all relevant times, Defendant was attempting to collect an alleged consumer debt.
- 11. The alleged debt at issue, a school loan, arose out of transactions, which were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 12. In its attempts to collect the underlying debt, Defendant began contacting Plaintiff on his cellular telephone seeking and demanding payment on a defaulted student loan.
- 13. Not wanting to receive collection calls and desiring to resolve this matter, Plaintiff entered into an agreement with Defendant, wherein he agreed to pay Defendant \$200.00 per month on the outstanding debt.
- 14. Defendant agreed to this amount and informed Plaintiff that this amount was sufficient so that after twelve (12) consecutive monthly payments, Plaintiff's student loan would be taken out of default.
  - 15. After making the agreement, Defendant began withdrawing \$200.00 per month

from Plaintiff's bank account.

- 16. About three months into the repayment plan, Defendant contacted Plaintiff and demanded more money.
- 17. When Plaintiff was unable to pay a higher amount, Defendant proceeded to garnish Plaintiff's wages.
- 18. Defendant garnished Plaintiff's wages on three separate occasions, despite Plaintiff having made his monthly \$200.00 payment.
- 19. Upon information and belief, Defendant deceived Plaintiff into agreeing to pay \$200.00 per month with no intention of abiding by that agreement.
- 20. Additionally, in or around the beginning of September 2012, Plaintiff sent Defendant a written demand that it cease contact with him and to put the agreement in writing.
- 21. Defendant received Plaintiff's written demand to cease communications in September 2012.
- 22. Despite having received written instructions to cease communications with Plaintiff, Defendant continued to call Plaintiff about this debt.
- 23. Most recently, on October 13, 2012, at 8:15 a.m., Defendant contacted Plaintiff in its attempt to collect this debt.
- 24. Furthermore, Defendant has failed to send Plaintiff anything in writing to memorialize the agreement between the parties regarding repayment of the student loan.

# DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

#### **COUNT I**

25. Defendant's conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §1692c(c).

24

25

- a. Section 1692c(c) of the FDCPA requires a debt collector to cease communication with a consumer if a consumer notifies a debt collector in writing that the consumer refuses to pay a debt or that the consumer wishes the debt collector to cease further communication with the consumer.
- b. Here, Defendant violated §1692c(c) of the FDCPA by continuing to contact Plaintiff about the underlying debt after it received written notification from Plaintiff to cease communication.

### **COUNT II**

- 26. Defendant's conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §§1692e and 1692e(4) of the FDCPA.
  - a. A debt collector violates §1692e of the FDCPA by using false, deceptive or misleading representations or means in connection with the collection of any debt.
  - b. A debt collector violates §1692e(4) of the FDCPA by falsely representing or implying that nonpayment of any debt will result in the garnishment of wages of any person unless such action is lawful and the debt collector or creditor intends to take such action.
  - c. Here, Defendant violated §§1692e and 1692e(4) of the FDCPA by making false representations regarding a repayment agreement and garnishing Plaintiff's wages despite Plaintiff complying with the repayment Plaintiff.

#### **COUNT III**

27. Defendant's conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §§1692f and 1692f(1).

- a. A debt collector violates §1692f of the FDCPA by using unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.
- b. A debt collector violates §1692f(1) of the FDCPA by collecting an amount (including any interest, fee, charge, or expense incidental to the principal obligation) unless such amount is expressly authorized by the agreement creating the debt or permitted by law.
- c. Here, Defendant violated §§1692f and 1692f(1) of the FDCPA by failing to honor the payment agreement that it made with Plaintiff, and collecting or attempting to collect an amount that was not permitted by that agreement.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, REED FLAMER, respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

- a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- b. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);
- c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1693k(a)(3); and
- d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

## **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, REID FLAMER, demands a jury trial in this case.

1		RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,
2		
3	Date: <u>01/21/2013</u>	By: <u>/s/ Craig Thor Kimmel</u> CRAIG THOR KIMMEL
5		Attorney ID No. 57100 Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.
6		30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002
7		Phone: (215) 540-8888 Fax: (877) 788-2864 Email: <u>kimmel@creditlaw.com</u>
8		Email: <u>kimmere ereditiaw.com</u>
9		
10 11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		